

Ronali

11th June 2010

Grand Secretary's Office  
Grand Lodge of Ireland  
Freemasons' Hall  
17 Molesworth Street  
Dublin 2

Dear Mr. Barry Lyons,

Re: Grand Lodge Law 16 and the Grand Lodge meeting on 28<sup>th</sup> December 2009 that ratified the removal of my membership by method of suspension.

I had but six months until the 27<sup>th</sup> June 2010 to make an application to appeal the Grand Lodge ratification of 28<sup>th</sup> December 2009 that removed my membership by method of suspension. Several months have lapsed since I sought information from Grand Lodge to assist deliberations. The delay in reply and non-reply, erodes the permitted time on which the Laws and Constitution grants me to submit, as I would have desired to submit in a more substantive manner. A fundamental element to the obstruction of my appeal submission is the inability of Grand Lodge to advise what allegation(s) if any proven, was so worthy to cause prohibition and the removal of my membership. This is hardly surprising as Grand Lodge withheld information on what the allegations were, and by whom, for seven months and executed the minimum period of seven days to the notification on the commencement of Grand Lodge hearings to an accused brother by summons.

The Provincial Grand Lodge of Antrim web site link – 'Decision making process: Communication between Brethren, Lodges and Provincial Grand Lodge is carried out through various committees, thereby ensuring that the views of Brethren are freely expressed, noted and decisions made democratically.' My view is deliberately censored; democracy amiss and committees subverted all contras to the sites wishful representation.

It is regrettable that malicious falsehood and negligent misstatement levied on me causes much correspondence to Grand Lodge by many. Ironic though it is, that eleven allegations introduced as snippets by senior officers which they did construe from letters, emails or notes necessitates challenge by written form, from the same author. Grand Law 16 does refer that no appeal or application for rehearing can be received unless it is couched in proper and respectful language. This is subjective to each receiver's bias and impedes freedom of expression.

A pertinent example is the first allegation listed to me, dated March 2007 that was accepted as prima facie to having inaccuracies and caused circulation in breach of PGLA by-law 61 that warranted issuing a summons to an accused brother. Seven

senior officers of the PGLA signed the charge sheet but the Grand Lodge hearing panel could not inform of any inaccuracies or to whom the letter was circulated. Nor could the hearing panel explain why the same by-law had not been ratified by Grand Lodge. However, that letter, I did sign as a Trustee of Freemasons' Hall Arthur Square and the other Trustees, Mr. Vance Rodgers, Mr. Alan Patterson and the hall secretary Mr. Drew Crawford FCA all verified its content before release as they collectively or individually would substantiate any issue therein. [Mr. Vance Rodgers resigned as trustee in 2007, after completion of recent refurbishments and demit from order in 2010.] The senior officers bias as accusers to their claim of inaccuracies in the letter was not investigated. An account or explanation was not sought from me nor from my fellow trustees or the hall secretary on the matters expressed.

Some of those who signed the charge sheet were not in office during March 2007 and would be not be able to comment on issues of accuracy within the letter. The two wardens who attended the PGLA standing committee accepted allegations to which the dates of that letter and an additional eight allegations are prior to their own investiture that attains them to preside on a standing committee. Only they are able to explain that and expand how a further two allegations to which they consented is to allegations weeks after the standing committee met. I suggest that their complicity is neither proper nor respectful to the Laws and Constitution. The wardens objectivity at the standing committee is questionable, as six other members of the standing committee had construed the allegations in first instance.

The objectivity of the other two members of the standing committee of ten, but who did not sign the charge sheet are Mr. John Dunlop the Provincial Grand Master and Mr. Noel Millar the Provincial Assistant Grand Master who acted as the secretary gains a simple explanation. Mr John Dunlop had resigned by letter on Tuesday 10<sup>th</sup> February 2009 citing his tenure should hold until the resignation of Mr. Noel Millar in April 2009 thus exiting themselves, from their self-induced wrongs.

Thus, my letter of March 2007 transpires to have been negatively received by the senior officers and the wardens who attended the standing committee in April 2010. However, if the content was not proper or the language not respectful, why instigate a summons to inaccuracies, on which the hearing panel cannot adjudicate and is unable to advise, whether that allegation is proven or not.

At that time of writing in March 2007, I was member of the order and conscious to couch respectfully any comments to placate any receiver. It is now evident that attributing my name as a member to another member is unsatisfactory, as others in senior office would instruct to find the content matter so. I hope that now as a non-member this letter is received without skew.

My tenure as a trustee brought many challenges on numerous issues that were not subjective to my personal opinion. Examples of members not being respectful to others entailed having to issue liquid soap in wash rooms as bar soap disappeared. Toilet holders required a locked key system as the paper rolls disappeared. Chewing gum discarded on lodge room floors and chairs although the public had not used these rooms. All these uncouched mannerisms attributable to those whom successfully

cleared the inspection committees to membership. The linen napkins are counted before members leave the premises because they also disappear and at an occasion, eight were returned as apparently the members believed they were a souvenir. Members of the higher orders are no different to craft members by breaching Club licensing laws and bringing in their own beverages to save a few paltry pence. This lends me to accept that respect to members and rules are very much an individual's subjective view.

Immediately prior to the ratification of my adjudication, Grand Lodge introduced a new ruling specifically relating to trustees. It is written in a manner not to include trustees such as the senior officers in Antrim who were also to be adjudicated. Unmasonic conduct proven on senior officers who govern over membership is acceptable by the new Grand Law rule as they are not to be suspended, just reprimanded or have a penalty imposed. I did not govern over membership but was accountable to the trust deed, of which the new rule by Grand Lodge has no authority on. As a member, one may consider the ruling, but it was borne deliberately to further a personal vendetta. That is immoral and explains why the new rule was not listed, debated or begot consultation from those whom it may affect.

There is an expectation that I should make an appeal for a rehearing because I have a right to make an application, as stipulated in the Laws and Constitution. A rehearing if granted on the allegations to me does not resolve the Antrim issue quandary. It will merely rehear a rebuttal of the allegations as that is its mandate and function.

It does not have the remit to investigate the motive why a Grand Lodge officer, Mr. John Dickson as the Provincial Grand Registrar of Antrim did conspire with others to subvert those whom he serves. He did deny me any caution, when I specifically enquired by email, by letter and again in person, at his arranged meeting of entrapment that I was to be maligned by his mouth and have a trial in my absence. He further tried me again in my absence when as an accuser he voted that his allegations be accepted at a PGLA standing committee.

The special meeting of the Provincial Grand Lodge of Antrim Board on the 18th March 2009 was to achieve a sole objective of his and other officers in Antrim. It was not the agenda of the assembled Board, as they knew not why they had gathered. However, when it became apparent that the Registrar was manipulating events and despite advice from several Board members that the meeting was a kangaroo court he continued. Four proposals that emanated from the floor were refused on the grounds that Grand Lodge would not accept them. All proposals refused, because none would attain his predetermined objective.

Use of a pre printed ballot paper was an objective the Registrar sought to enable him decree that the outcome was the decision of the Board. This was nothing more than a deceitful and immoral abuse of his position in coercing the Board to reach his personal motive. He had credence to his action, as Mr. John Dunlop as the Provincial Grand Master was chairing the meeting and was supportive to the arrangements. Where upon the senior officers attending selflessly use the Board as a mechanism to

promote their personal agenda. Precepts and oaths of freemasonry discarded with the Laws and Constitution to promote their abhorrent governance.

I understand that Mr. John Dickson and other senior officers were proven of unmasonic conduct, in organizing and holding a meeting contrary to Laws and Constitution of which they apparently were reprimanded. His penalty or reprimand is unknown and his adjudication not announced or published, but his promotion to Provincial Grand Master from that of Provincial Registrar we all know. Bereft of moral authority to govern he mocks those of similar rank who are held in high esteem. He demands salutations from those whom he presides over while abusing their trust to promote himself. Similarly, his promotion in Grand Lodge from Standard Bearer to Grand Steward and his installation as Most Wise Sovereign in Prince Chapter masonry dishonours the worthy membership of many.

The senior officers of Antrim, to advance their personal gain and objective, used the functionality of the Board, on which its decision is what Grand Lodge is informed. This callous method is to mislead intentionally Grand Lodge by submitting a flawed process of governance. Whether a rehearing is granted or not, the governance of freemasonry in Ireland needs to be addressed to make it good and proper. It is the obvious lack of good and proper governance that tolerates a kangaroo court, when organized and held by those in high office, to which Grand Lodge now establishes the deterrent as a mere reprimand or reprimand and promotion on those proven.

I would remind Grand Lodge that the removal of Mr. Alan Askin, as Provincial Grand Secretary was at a Board meeting during September 2008 and that was to benefit of those same senior officers. Again, the abuse of Board attendees and that flawed process is solely to further personal agendas and not for the good of freemasonry.

The March 2010 web site publication of the Grand Lodge News opens with the sentiments that the Grand Master and his Cabinet has a special responsibility to promote the Order in the public place and take every opportunity to do so by appearing in the media. He reflects on the past year, on which he acknowledges that it is quite unacceptable, to suffer not only from the enemy without, but from the enemy within. It is assuring that a media opportunity will be welcomed, should they investigate kangaroo courts not condemned by him and report on legal writs served on Grand Lodge. An enemy within is unacceptable especially from those whom he had personally placed and that they remain in office with unmasonic conduct proven. This alleged enemy without will only be reporting the truth that will shame freemasons worldwide of which I can see no benefit in being evasive. Freemasons in high office are accountable. These same freemasons use each forum to put across their concoction of events and deny everyone else.

No doubt, the Grand Master could blame the press and shun any media opportunity on his governance that rewards those who hold kangaroo courts in his name. In current times, the church is blaming the press, as do members of parliament, as have persons of notary when their follies are exposed. However, the same Grand Master in the same article espouses that every freemason should remain true to his obligations, practise brotherly love and fully embrace the Laws & Constitutions of our Order.

Only he can rational to the membership and public place how he promoted Mr. John Dickson when charges were prima facie accepted, and how he installed him before he had his hearing, and now permits him to remain as the Provincial Grand Master of Antrim with unmasonic conduct proven.

Demit is denied and my right to leave the Order denied. Attendances at meetings denied due to a prohibition edict. Opportunity to defend myself when allegations were first prospered and again at the standing committee denied. Sight of charges denied for seven months. Judgement in my presence denied. The hearing panel denied any explanation of the allegations that they ruled as prima facie to warrant a summons. Minutes and notes to aid rebuttals denied. Any one of these denials brings shame unto the Order and is why the Order is attempting to deny the conspiracy and cover up of kangaroo courts in Irish freemasonry. However, the kangaroo court did happen and more significant, that the orchestration is by those in high office to advance their personal plan. Only they are able to explain to the membership and public place their contrivances to which it is apparent that not a single officer interceded to implement the masonic plumb line or public common sense.

I am at ease to openly without encumbrance, tell of my masonic experience to any member or non-member alike, but those who made the allegations and those who adjudicated cannot. A room in Freemasons' Hall Arthur Square is named in recognition of my tenure of membership even though I was proven as unmasonic conduct and membership removed. Neither a letter of complaint received nor objection heard on that matter yet Grand Lodge is inundated with concern regarding the non-transparent procedures and evasiveness of masonic forums, panels, reports and judgments.

Mr John Dickson sullied my name in a masonic forum that he planned and organised. His besmirching of my character as made on the 18<sup>th</sup> March 2009 attended by approximately one hundred fifty members remains. Grand Lodge forbids discussion and has censorship of publication in force to the detriment of free expression that is contrary to both masonic and natural law. Reinstatement of my membership alone does not resolve the Antrim issue as good and proper governance is not a requisite of the Grand Master.

I Ronald Wilson as a former member of Free and Ancient Masons of Ireland apply to Grand Lodge for a rehearing to:

Mr. John Dickson the Provincial Grand Master of Antrim,  
Mr. John Dunlop the Immediate Past Provincial Grand Master of Antrim,  
Mr. Jack Dunlop the Provincial Assistant Grand Master of Antrim, because Grand Lodge and the Grand Lodge sub-committee hearing panel had deliberated and prejudged in advance of their hearing on 21<sup>st</sup> November 2009. The hearing panel did submit on 28<sup>th</sup> December 2009 that they were proven as to organising and holding a meeting contrary to the Laws and Constitution.

However, it was on 30<sup>th</sup> March 2009 at a Provincial Grand Lodge of Antrim Board meeting that those assembled learned that Grand Lodge had announced that a meeting held on 18<sup>th</sup> March 2009 was Null and Void. This was a determination accredited to

Grand Lodge that did not permit a defence on why the meeting was organised and held, as those assembled were not permitted to discuss or enquire. Nor did the organisers of that meeting explain that they were following the direct authority of the Grand Master on matters to which he had publicly directed on the 28<sup>th</sup> February 2009.

At hearing 7<sup>th</sup> November 2009 during my preamble to the rebuttal of eleven allegations, I submitted an exhibit. This exhibit was the Provincial Grand Lodge of Antrim Board of General Purposes voting paper to which the hearings chairman did decree that the meeting of 18<sup>th</sup> March 2009 was wrong and should not have taken place. This deliberation to circumvent an exhibit and the exhibit's significance was a determination by the Grand Lodge sub-committee hearing panel prior to an explanation or defence by those who had orchestrated the making of the voting paper. The chairman did not address that a meeting did occur of which only the decision could be Null and Void, nor why minutes were not available to that meeting. Nor why men were selected days in advance, whose sole purpose was to count the pre-printed voting papers.

That determination, as particularly expressed by the chairman was judgemental and not neutral or unbiased to whom some of those summoned were not scheduled for their hearings until 21<sup>st</sup> November 2009. The hearing panel to me should have been a different hearing panel from those who made the allegations as the hearings were to judge, not reconcile, nor mediate. Rebuttal of my allegations was not conducive to my accusers having an impartial hearing by the same panel.

I submit that the hearing panel was remiss in its functionality in that the self same accused did not produce minutes in accordance to Laws and Constitution, which was an allegation that had been made on them. Having been proven in the organising and holding a meeting does not exempt them from judgment on all the other allegations of which no minutes, was just one of over twenty different allegations laid by numerous individuals and by lodge.

The hearing panel further portrayed predetermined judgement, as it had met for six months, resided during three days of hearings to which notes are not available. Neither was a minute recorded on the hearings and yet a draft report is compiled. A review or reconsideration was held without notes or minutes available but an increase to judgment from nine month to a twelve month removal of membership by method of suspension was attained. This inattention to documentation by the hearing panel contributes to the flawed process on which Grand Lodge is accountable.

I Ronald Wilson as a former member of Free and Ancient Masons of Ireland apply to Grand Lodge for a rehearing as I was denied equality.

My accusers attended Grand Lodge on 28<sup>th</sup> December 2009 and participated in voting on the judgments but I was prohibited to vote. Neither was these self same accusers now proven of unmasonic conduct, who with others, made allegations on me were not prohibited from attending Grand Lodge Board meetings at which they could prosper their agenda without equality of challenge.

Unlike my accusers who were informed specifically on what allegation was proven I have not been informed. This flawed process of judgment on me does not promote transparency to all whom Grand Lodge is accountable.

My judgment was for twelve months of suspension on which my membership is removed. More than twelve months has passed but I remain out of membership. The judgment imposed has now exceeded the period on which Grand Lodge had ratified. This flawed process of judgment is not equitable for which those in attendance at the 28th December 2009 had voted upon. It is not possible to apply for admission of membership during the twelve month period of suspension, as I would not have been ruled as being of good masonic standing during the said same period. Thus, removal of my membership exceeds the judgment.

I further submit that the judgment is not equitable to others as heard by the same hearing panel. Unmasonic conduct proven on others, such as Mr. John Dickson receiving a reprimand and that he was informed of the specific proven allegation. I am not informed of any allegation proven. Nor were any of the allegations listed to me more repugnant than that of holding a kangaroo court to which Mr. John Dickson was proven. Equity of Grand Lodge judgment is deficient as the period of twelve month suspension on me is greater than a period of suspension given on a convicted sex offender, which Grand Lodge did rule. If the sex offender had been, a Provincial or Grand Lodge officer a reprimand could be perceived as being an equitable judgment.

Other senior officers received summons as an accused brother to attend the hearing panel because a prima facie case was established on them. Equally, they should be reheard because Grand Lodge did singularly identify and exonerate a Mr. John Frazer. This inequality to the other senior officers is not compatible with his subsequent immediate removal of his chain of office, yet timely enough not to be included in the 2010 calendar and directory. I would remind Grand Lodge that Mr. John Frazer did previously apologize to Grand Lodge for breach of protocol regarding his interference of the Trustees Freemasons' Hall Arthur Square affairs. Please note the Trustees never received an apology of which Mr. John Frazer's interfering I did take account of in a letter dated March 2007. This is the same letter which that is alleged to have contained inaccuracies and to which I received a summons.

The membership of the Province of Antrim has right to know what allegations were made upon their senior officers. They have right to know what was proven. It is wrong to have unmasonic proven on any one without that allegation known to the member and to the general membership. Grand Lodge members should know who sits with them to adjudicate on masonic matters and that they are, or not, proven of unmasonic conduct. I submit that a flawed process of accountability does not assist resolve.

I Ronald Wilson as a former member of Free and Ancient Masons of Ireland apply to Grand Lodge for a rehearing as Grand Law 35 was breached on the following points.

- 1) A charge involving suspension or expulsion was not brought to the Board of the Provincial Grand Lodge of Antrim in accordance to Grand Law 35.

- a) No allegations were presented to PGLA BoGP on 30<sup>th</sup> March 2009 or at any following PGLA communication.
- b) Date of charge sheet is 30<sup>th</sup> March 2009 but PGLA standing committee did not meet until April.
- c) PGLA standing committee that met in April accepted allegations dated May.
- d) The PGLA standing committee did not report its recommendation at the next meeting of the PGLA BoGP in accordance to Grand Law 35 invoked by-law 33.
- e) No meeting of PGLA or PGLA BoGP received a report that senior officers of the PGLA standing committee had recommended suspension or expulsion on my membership in accordance to Grand Law 35 invoked by-law 31a and 33.
- f) PGLA standing committee assembled on matters that was deemed by the accusers of same committee as an emergency to assemble in accordance to Grand Law 35 invoked by-law 31c.

2) Such charge involving suspension or expulsion was not at the same time brought to the Grand Secretaries office in accordance to Grand Law 35.

a) The date of allegations on charge sheet so preferred as April and May validates that Grand Secretary did not receive at same time in accordance to Grand Law 35.

b) Grand Lodge is unable to advise the date when they received charge sheet.

3) The copy of the charge as a summons to an accused brother was not correctly served in accordance to Grand law 35.

a) Summons is neither delivered to me personally, or sent direct by registered post to known address in accordance to Grand Law 35.

b) Summons to an accused brother is a negative assumption and not a charge(s).

c) Charge sheet was irregular as the five senior officers statement of claim is signed by seven senior officers.

4) Temporary prohibition as applied was irregular as an offence involving suspension or expulsion was not stated in accordance to Grand Law 35.

a) The date of allegations on charge sheet so preferred as May validates that temporary prohibition in April was irregular as it was applied without presence or sight of the charge sheet.

I Ronald Wilson as a former member of Free and Ancient Masons of Ireland apply to Grand Lodge for a rehearing, as natural justice was set aside on several points:

That the statement made by the Grand Master at the 2009 February installation of officers of the Provincial Grand Lodge of Antrim was by its tone prejudicial to the hearing of the conduct to me contrary to the principles of natural justice.

That the refusal by the Grand Master to allow any discussion on the content of his statement at that installation ceremony was intended to influence a decision contrary to the interests of natural justice.

That the appointment by the Grand Master of Mr. John Dickson as the Provincial Grand Master signalled the wish of the Grand Master that the hearing panel should arrive at a predetermined conclusion. His installation as Provincial Grand Master was



prior to adjudication of unmasonic conduct, arising from a PGLA BoGP meeting on the 18<sup>th</sup> March 2009, by a hearing panel of Grand Lodge contrary to my interests and to the principles of natural justice.

That the absence of any organised procedures by the hearing panel of Grand Lodge and the ad hoc approach to the proceedings adopted indicated that the members of the panel had already arrived at a predetermined conclusion contrary to the principles of natural justice.

That the failure to allow the cross-examination of witnesses prejudiced the ability of myself to present a proper defence and created the suspicion that the hearing panel of Grand Lodge had already arrived at a predetermined conclusion.

That the announcement at the 2009 St John's day communication of Grand Lodge and its failure to announce the penalties in respect of the Provincial Grand Lodge of Antrim officers concerned confirmed the suspicion that the hearing panel of Grand Lodge arrived at a predetermined conclusion contrary to the interests of natural justice.

That the failure to take a proper vote indicating those for and those against the ruling and of those who were abstaining indicated that a predetermined conclusion had been arrived at contrary to my interests and of the principles of natural justice.

That the Grand Master, also as a Prince Rose Croix member vowed that he will help and assist in preference to anyone of that degree than of any of an inferior degree of masonry. This would infer that as a Grand Master in Grand Lodge of Ireland with limits defined in its constitution, declaration nine, as pure ancient masonry, that he has yielded to an existence of a superior masonic authority however styled. Master masons practising within the three degrees do not organise and hold kangaroo courts and neither should members of the higher orders.

I am aware that the majority of my accusers of the senior officers in Antrim are Prince Rose Croix members, as all the Grand Lodge hearing panel is. It is no coincidence that on the day after Mr. John Dunlop's resignation letter on his tenure as Provincial Grand Master, that my name was rejected at the Grand Chapter of Prince Masons in Dublin, Wednesday 11<sup>th</sup> February 2009. This was merely seventeen days before the Grand Master arrived in Antrim on 28<sup>th</sup> February 2009 to publicly call for a special meeting of the PGLA Board, which was then held on the 18<sup>th</sup> March 2009.

Unbeknown to me Belfast Chapter 8 had deemed me worthy to be perfected to their ranks. A fellow hall trustee, a hall secretary assistant, my RAC registrar, my Preceptory registrar, a Club trustee with others are only some of those whom truly knew me in that Chapter. So did Mr. William Dyer the Provincial Assistant Grand Master who signed my charge sheet and Mr James McFarland, a Provincial Grand Director of Ceremonies and the Assistant Grand Lodge Secretary of Instruction who so ably was waiting in attendance at the kangaroo court, to count the voting papers.

Yet none had substantial objection to my name on numerous occasions. But Mr. William Dyer was deceitful and devious to those in Chapter 8. He did not declare his personal interest of harbouring and perpetrating several years of disdain to my character and name regarding allegations dated 1/3/07, 24/3/07, 6/5/08, 23/5/08, 25/5/08, 9/10/08, 31/10/08 and 19/11/08 that he co-signed. He may answer to his Chapter directly on his hypocrisy and duplicity, of which his unmasking, may have already prompted the recent resignations of membership in Chapter 8 and freemasonry in general. Mr. Robert Thomson a 33<sup>rd</sup> degree member of that chapter must surely be aghast at senior officers of Antrim's moralities.

Regarding, Mr. Brian Hood and Mr. Stewart Hood and comments made against them at a masonic forum on the 18<sup>th</sup> March 2009, which have not been withdrawn, I remain supportive. The attendees specific to that meeting who described it as a kangaroo court without contradiction have not received a transparent explanation nor has Grand Lodge enlightened as to what has since transpired.

A rehearing being approved by Grand Lodge on any singular current member, or past member, is credible justification to warrant a complete rehearing to all, whether they have personally appealed or not. In pursuant of Grand Lodge Law 35 failure to attend or be represented at hearings shall proceed with any absences notwithstanding, as a summons to appear at hearing is not mandatory.

There is no derived benefit in excavating the rock foundations, of that which pure ancient masonry was firmly established, in favour to surreptitiously replacing with sinking sands. I look forward to the reinstatement of my membership that enables me to attend Temple Masonic Lodge 51 upon demit request denied, as the current Grand Lodge of Ireland is no longer worthy to benefit from my continuing membership. I similarly desire to attend at RAC and Preceptory to wish them well for the future.

Richard Parson 1<sup>st</sup> Earl of Rosse, is Ireland's first Grand Master and founder member of the Hell-Fire Club whose motto is 'Do what thou wilt'. It is perceivable to comprehend that Mr. George Dunlop the Grand Master does. But his governance I shall not permit my name to be sullied unchallenged and for him to ratify the besmirching of my character on my wife [REDACTED] and my children [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED] and [REDACTED], let alone to any freemason.

Yours sincerely,

Ronnie Wilson.

Distribution:

By hand : Grand Lodge

CC email : PGLA, DGRAC Antrim, PPEU.

Mr Nicolas Harrison, secretary Temple Masonic Lodge 51

Mr Ian Gourly, registrar Temple Royal Arch Chapter 51

Mr John Tinman, registrar Temple Preceptory 51

Mr Brian Hood and Mr Stewart Hood by association, suspended.

V I T A V E R I T A S V I C T O R I A